

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,664	10/510,664 10/07/2004		Mehmet Nezir Gencer	1920-100US	1822
25881	7590	05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
		BAZERMAN &	RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
60 EAST 42 SUITE 820	ND STREE	Γ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NY 101	65	3653		
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/510,664	GENCER, MEHMET NEZIR	GENCER, MEHMET NEZIR		
Examiner	Art Unit	-		
Joseph C. Rodriguez	3653			

	- Coscpii o: rediiguez		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLT WAS P	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h a fa a a a a a 4 h a data a f 61; a a a 14	-tif A1	.4 h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			*
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		mily to bottom or attack	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	•		
_	•	1/	
		€.€,00	•
,		3.0,00	,

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment fails to resolve the 112, 2nd issues raised in the final rejection and also contains language that would require more than nominal consideration. For instance, the shifting of the "closed form structure" language alters the scope of the claimed invention. Further, it is still unclear whether the elevator and secondary crusher are a necessary part of the claimed invention and if they are within the "closed form structure". Further, the specification fails to support the feature of "paddle boxes" and the specification itself remains inconsistent with the claimed structure (see e.g., p. 20-21 describing method steps wherein secondary crusher is covered by paddle box).